UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,654	10/26/2001	Cheryl L. Neofytides	020375-000220US	1069	
	0 7590 04/01/2008 WNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			AKINTOLA, OLABODE		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments	10/046,654	NEOFYTIDES ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	OLABODE AKINTOLA	3691		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/11/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3691

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levchin et al. (US 7089208) (Levchin).

Re claims 1, 14: Levchin teaches a method for automatically transferring credit between a stored value fund and a handler using a wide-area computer network, the method comprising: receiving automated transfer information at a server computer system from a user interface coupled to the wide-area computer network coupled to a user associated with the stored value fund, the user interface including one of a group comprising a phone interface and an internet interface, the server computer system is operable to communicate with the phone interface and the internet interface, the server computer system receiving the automated transfer information via the user interface (col. 7, lines 12-15, col. 3, lines 45-50, col. 1, lines 51-56); receiving a first selection of the handler chosen by a user for an automated transfer, the handler comprising one of a group including a credit card handler, a debit card handler and a bank handler (col. 2, lines 37-39); determining the direction of the automated transfer with respect to the stored value fund (col. 7, lines 12-15); receiving a first selection of an amount for the automated transfer (col. 5, lines 62-66); and automatically transferring the amount between the stored value fund and the handler col. 5, lines 62-66).

Levchin does not explicitly teach an agent interface, a kiosk interface, and an ATM interface; and a promotion handler and agent handler as recited in the claim. However, Levchin teaches a plurality of interfaces (e.g. handheld or palmtop computer, smart telephone, PDA, pager (Fig.1, col. 3, lines 34-53) and plurality of handlers (col. 2, lines 37-39; col. 5, lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify

Art Unit: 3691

Levchin to include other interfaces such as agent interface, a kiosk interface, and an ATM interface, and handlers such as promotion handler and agent handler or any other interface/handler in Levchin's client-server configuration since these interfaces/handlers are well known in the art as being operable to communicate with a server system in a client-server configuration, and each of these additional interface/handler merely would perform the same function as each separate interface as taught by Levchin. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of including the additional interfaces/handlers were predictable.

Re claim 2: Levchin teaches a step of determining if a transfer period has expired (col. 4, lines 11-12; col. 14, line 64 through col. 15, line 2).

Re claim 3: Levchin teaches a step of determining if a threshold amount is crossed (col. 5, lines 62-66).

Re claim 4: Levchin teaches wherein: the); receiving a first selection of the amount step comprising a step of determining the difference between the threshold amount and a balance of the stored value fund; and the difference is equal to the amount (col. 5, lines 62-66).

Re claim 5: Levchin teaches wherein the amount is included in the automated transfer information (col. 4, lines 9-11).

Art Unit: 3691

Re claim 6: Levchin does not explicitly teach a step of electronically notifying the user of the automated transfer, wherein the electronic notification includes at least one of a web page, an instant message, an e-mail message, a pager message, and a wireless phone message. Official notice is hereby taken it is old and well known in the fund transfer art to provide notification to user of the system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature in Levchin teachings. One would have been motivated to do so in order to confirm to the user that the value has been successfully transferred.

Re claim 7: Levchin teaches wherein the server computer system comprises a plurality of computers coupled together by a computer network (figure 1).

Re claim 8: Levchin teaches, wherein the handler includes at least one of a stored value fund, an airline mileage program, a gift certificate issuer, an electronic gift certificate issuer, and a money order issuer (col. 5, lines 62-66).

Re claim 9: Levchin teaches wherein the amount corresponds to at least one of: currency, monetary value, airline mileage, promotional program points, gift certificate credit, and commodities (col. 4, lines 34-41).

Re claim 10: Levchin teaches, wherein the automatically transferring step comprises at least one of the following steps: transferring the amount with a bank account; transferring the amount with a credit card or debit card; transferring the amount in a check or money order; transferring the

Application/Control Number: 10/046,654 Page 6

Art Unit: 3691

amount to another's stored value fund; transferring the amount to an agent location chosen by the user; transferring a telegram or a greeting card with a check or money order for the amount; and transferring an electronic greeting card with an electronic payment notification for the amount embedded therewith (col. 7, lines 12-15).

Re claim 11: Levchin teaches steps of: retrieving a trigger condition that initiates the automatically transferring step; and determining when the trigger condition is satisfied (col. 5, lines 62-66).

Re claim 12: Levchin teaches, wherein the trigger condition includes at least one of: a credit balance in the stored value find meeting a threshold; and a period of time expiring (col. 5, lines 62-66).

Re claim 13: Levchin teaches, wherein the user, the handler and the server computer system are remotely located with respect to each other (figure 1).

Re claims 15 and 18: See claims 1 and 12 analyses, supra. Furthermore, Levchin teaches a payment controller sending the amount to a payment conversion function, the payment conversion function converting a form of the amount (col. 4, lines 34-36)

Re claim 16: See claims 1, 4 and 12 analyses, supra.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,654 Page 7

Art Unit: 3691

Re claim 17: See claims 1, 8 and 12 analyses, supra.

Re claims 19 and 24: See claims 1, 2 and 15 analyses, supra.

Re claim 20: See claims 1 and 3 analyses, supra

Re claim 21: See claims 1 and 4 analyses, supra

Re claim 22: See claims 1 and 12 analyses, supra.

Re claim 23: See claims 1 and 14 analyses (figure 1), supra

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLABODE AKINTOLA whose telephone number is (571)272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,654 Page 8

Art Unit: 3691

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691